UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,407	10/16/2003	Hyungyoo Yook	Q76049	7867
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 02/18/201 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	CHEN, QING		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2191	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/685,407	YOOK, HYUNGYOO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Qing Chen	2191		

	Qing Chen	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-14 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(РТО/ЭВ/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Anna Deng/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2191	
	-		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding the Applicant's arguments on page 5 to page 7 of the "Remarks" pertaining to the rejections of the claims made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Applicant mainly asserts that there is no reason to include the installation manager of Moonen on a controlled device. Applicant's arguments are fully considered, but found to be not persuasive.

Examiner respectfully submits that the installation manager of Moonen is located on a bridge, which, as acknowledged by the Applicant, is a controlling device that handles the installations of software components needed to integrate a new device into a cluster of devices. Note that Davies teaches one of a plurality of controlled devices controlling an application server and performing installation and management of applications for the plurality of controlled devices (see Paragraph [0028], "In FIG. 2, a block diagram of one embodiment of an IP device 230 integrated into a HAVi network 200 is shown. The HAVi network 200 includes an IP and HAVi compliant device, i.e., an FAV, acting as a controller 210. The controller 210 runs a server 212 and includes HAVi software and APIs 214."; Paragraph [0029], "In an alternative embodiment, an IP device may control the FAV or IAV device as well as other HAVi compliant devices coupled to a HAVi network."; Paragraph [0035], "The HAVi stack 426 includes a device manager. As the FAV finds new devices coupled to the HAVi network 400, the device manager creates a device control module for each new device. These device control modules (DCMs) 424 are instantiated for all the devices on the HAVi network 400. The DCMs 424 allow the HAVi network 400 to interface with each HAVi compliant device and IP device DCMs 422 allow the HAVi network 400 to interface with each IP device."). Thus, as can be seen, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that, in such manner, the one of the plurality of controlled devices is acting as a controlling device to perform installations of software components for the plurality of controlled devices. Therefore, in view of the teaching of Moonen, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to include an application management module in one of the plurality of controlled devices of Davies acting as a controlling device in order to automate the installations of application files downloaded from a central server to the controlled devices without requiring a user having to manually perform the installations.

Therefore, for at least the reason set forth above, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) with respect to Claim 9 is proper and therefore, maintained.